March 5, 2024, FY2025-2027 SPIL SILC Goals Meeting Notes

Team Members Present: Jamia Davis, Stephanie Deible, Erica Coulston, Jan Lampman, Aaron Andres, Steve Locke, Mindy Kulasa.

Drafting Michigan’s FY2024-2027 SILC SPIL Goals

* This workgroup is a subset that will feed back into the larger SPIL writing team that is made up of some CIL directors and council members. We will also have representation from the DSE for their section of the SPIL.
* The Community Needs Assessment survey is located on our website [www.misilc.org](http://www.misilc.org) and is also being sent out through our social media channels. We currently have 154 responses.

FY2024 – 2027 SPIL Timeline Review

* Steve reviewed the timeline.

Now - May 1, 2024: Continue Gathering Public Input

May 1, 2024: Draft SPIL Complete for Public Comment

May 1, 2024 – May 30, 2024: Public Comment Period on Draft SPIL

June 30, 2024: SPIL Due to ACL

Public Input Review

* Public input will be ongoing and will be reviewed between May 1st through May 30th, 2024.
* We held three SPIL public input meeting sessions via Zoom in February.
* We have 3 public input sessions scheduled for Sunday, March 24, 2024, at 12:00pm, Wednesday, March 27, 2024, at 1:00pm and Thursday, March 28, 2024, at 7:00pm via Zoom.

CIL Network Goals

* The CIL Network provided their draft SPIL goals/indicators/activities working document.
* The team reviewed the CIL draft SPIL goals/indicators/activities working document.
* Goal 1 Transportation.
	+ Objective 1.1 measurable indicators comments or questions: It’s all about funding.
	+ Objective 1.2 measurable indicators comments or questions: Until we can get the agencies to make policy changes, we’re not going to see any changes. The CILs are using these as pilot sites, trying to develop a strategy and an infrastructure to see how effective it can be and then expand that across the state to other regions. Potential areas are Detroit and Grand Rapids because of transportation issues. They want to build partnerships based on what’s already happening.

Aaron mentioned if we are using test areas for transportation in Detroit and Grand Rapids, they are virtually the same geographical area. The upper peninsula and the lower peninsula are completely different and until we include everyone in Michigan we’re not going to see as much change as we want to see.

Stephanie posted, in my mind Grand Rapids and Detroit are more urban or suburban as opposed to rural. Was there any discussion about doing one area that's urban and one area that's more rural? Or are they picking those areas because of specific connections in those areas?

* + Objective 1.3 measurable indicators comments or questions: The numbers are changeable. We will work with IDEA39 to determine the numbers based on the social media campaigns that we are engaging in right now.

Jan liked the way they framed the objective in year 1, 2, & 3 and would suggest that we use a similar sort of framing for any of the other advocacy pieces that we want to add into the SPIL for our public policy/awareness efforts.

Stephanie asked if there was any discussion around community events and what they were hoping that would look like or is that up to us to determine? In person, hybrid? It was not discussed.

Jamia liked in year 3 they proposed conducting surveys to measure changes with public perception and support.

* Goal 2 Housing.
	+ Objective 2.1 measurable indicators comments or questions: This will be statewide.
	+ Objective 2.2 measurable indicators comments or questions: There are policy makers at the state, county, and local level.

Jamia envisions these objectives including Section 8 vouchers.

Erica asked how the measurables were put together in terms of the numbers or is it just sort of throwing things out there? Is it based on a number of workshops or engagements or meetings they have done in the past? For our own goal making what’s a reasonable/achievable number? Each year the network hosts a legislative day at the capitol to do relationship building with their house members and state senators. They also include their county representatives, Michigan State Housing Development Authority, policy makers within state government, etc.

* Steve will send the questions out to the SPIL writing team and see if we can get some answers.

State Level Partnership Goals for CIL Network and SILC

* Direct Care Workers and Emergency Preparedness will be included in the next SPIL but will be moved into the advocacy arena.
* BSBP IL Services ~ To be written by Lisa Kisiel.

SILC Advocacy Goals

1. Mental Health (Mindy, Erica) Define Issue Area(s) – SILC SPIL Team
	1. Steve is working with Mindy and Erica on defining the issue areas. We are setting up a meeting with Bob Sheehan from Community Mental Health to hone in on some areas that SILC can be effective in that area of mental health.

Jan provided another contact person for Steve, Mindy, and Erica to reach out to. Marianne Ann Hoff from the Mental Health Association of Michigan. Jan will connect Steve to Marianne.

1. Housing (Jamia) – See CIL Objectives
2. Transportation (Stephanie, Aaron, Erica) – See CIL Objectives
3. Accessibility – (All SILC SPIL Members) See CIL Objectives

Approach to and Assignment of SPIL Sections

* 1. Review SPIL Sections for Edits/Modifications
		1. Section 1: Mission, Goals, Objectives, and Activities
			1. Section 1.4 Evaluation
			2. Section 1.5 Financial Plan
		2. Section 2: Scope, Extent and Arrangements of Services
		3. Section 3: Network of Centers
		4. Section 4: Designated State Entity
		5. Section 5: Statewide Independent Living Council (SILC)
		6. Section 6: Legal Basis & Certifications
		7. Section 7: DSE Assurances
		8. Section 8: Statewide Independent Living Council (SILC) Assurances and Indicators of Minimum Compliance
		9. Section 9: Signatures
* There will be some changes around Section 1.5 of the financial plan, the CILs are discussing modifying their funding formula. There were some questions around Section 4 DSE and Section 7 DSE assurances, these sections belong to MRS. The CILs have some suggested language they want to share with the DSE on Section 4.

Public Comment

* No public comment was given.

Next Steps:

* Steve and Jamia will meet to put together some objectives and measurable indicators around housing.
* Continue to discuss bringing on a contractor to do a professional public awareness advocacy campaign.
* SILC’s operational budget structure ~ Steve has requested from MRS the percentages of what SILC’s budget is made up of. We receive funds from 3 sources, Innovation and Expansion (I&E) funds, state GFGP dollars and Part B funds. SILC has complete control over Part B funds. I&E funds the DSE can give us push back during our yearly budget negotiation. There are some SILCs around the county that are funded 100% Part B funds. Do we want to change how our budget is allocated so that the Council has more say over the types of activities that we do? Steve’s recommendation is to talk with the CIL Network and put more Part B funds into SILC’s budget, fund our operations (direct costs) with state money and I&E funds, increase the amount of Part B funds that is part of our budget to have the authority over implementing these activities in the SPIL. Steve’s recommendation is to increase that so our budget is at least 60 – 75% Part B funds.

Future Meeting Dates

* March 20 @ 3:30pm